UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,053	03/24/2004	Stephen E. Bentschneider	LAB-106-B	4200
Todd L. Moore	7590 03/17/200	EXAMINER		
YOUNG & BA	SILE, P.C.	CHEN, JOSE V		
Suite 624 3001 West Big Beaver Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Troy, MI 48084		3637		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/808,053	BENTSCHNEIDER, STEPHEN E.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		José V. Chen	3637			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
Period fo	r Reply					
WHIC - Exten after 9 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REINEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the main different part of the main different pa	E DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting the control of	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	8 December 2007				
•	-	his action is non-final.				
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	on of Claims					
· · _	Claim(s) <u>27-46</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation				
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	Claim(s) <u>27-46</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application	on Papers					
	·	inon				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
·	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<u> </u>	-	ian priority under 25 H.C.C. \$ 110(a)	\ (d\ or (f\			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		·				
A44	(4)					
Attachment 1) Notice	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) · No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 27-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim(s) 27-46 fail(s) to recite sufficient structural elements and interconnection of the elements to positively position and define: 1) how the plates are "configured" to releasably secure to a foundation; 2) how the legs are "configured" to adjust the spacing between the mounting plate and the table; 3) how the control panel is "configured" to cause the industrial machine to perform an operation; 4) how the pneumatic controller is "adaptable" to communicate with the machine; 5) how the telescopically adjustable legs are "operable" to engage and disengage the rollers with the foundation; 6) so that an integral structure able to function as claimed is recited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,053 Page 3

Art Unit: 3637

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 45-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hardinge in view of Doyle. The patent to Hardinge teaches structure substantially as claimed including table, means for securing (at 6) including mounting plates, a plurality of legs, the only difference being that the legs are not telescopingly adjustable. However, the patent to Doyle teaches the use of providing telescopingly adjustable legs with control means to be old. It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to modify the structure of Hardinge to include vertically adjustable legs and control means, as taught by Doyle since such structures are conventional alternative support structures used in the same intended purpose, thereby providing structure as claimed. It is noted that the use of fasteners and structures on workstations is well known. To use such in the same well known intended purpose, such as those used in workmates would have been obvious and well within the level of ordinary skill in the art and a predictable outcome. The use of a control panel to be in electrical communication with structures is routinely used in industry in view of cpu structures that are readily available at programmed. The method would have been obvious in view of the structures.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 27-44 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José V. Chen whose telephone number is (571)272-6865. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f,m-th 5:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571)272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

José V. Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3637

/José V. Chen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3637 03-04-08 Application/Control Number: 10/808,053 Page 5

Art Unit: 3637